

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 LESTER SELANDER,

Case No. 2:16-cv-02477-JAD-NJK

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 ACTING WARDEN,

ORDER

[ECF Nos. 1-1, 2, 8, 9]

8 Respondents.

9 On December 23, 2016, I ordered *pro se* habeas petitioner Lester Selander to
10 show cause why his petition is not time barred. ECF No. 4. I noted that it appears from
11 the state-court record that the AEDPA deadline for Selander to file a federal habeas
12 petition expired on August 22, 2014. *Id.* (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A)).

13 In response to that order, Selander filed a second motion for appointment of
14 counsel (ECF No. 8). In that motion, he does not dispute that this federal petition was
15 filed after the expiration of the AEDPA statute of limitations. He makes the bare
16 assertion that the alleged victim has recanted and that he is actually innocent. He
17 claims that this is newly discovered evidence and that he has “made a record” with this
18 court to support his contention. Apparently, Selander refers to documents that he
19 attached to the motion for appointment of counsel that appear to be one or more emails
20 perhaps sent in March 2014. *Id.* at 8—10. He offers no explanation whatsoever about
21 the attachments, nor does he identify their senders or recipients. In short, while
22 Selander has attempted to demonstrate that some extraordinary circumstance
23 prevented his timely filing, he provides no specific factual allegations whatsoever to
24 support his vague allegations of actual innocence. *See Calderon v. United States*
25 *District Court (Beeler)*, 128 F.3d 1283, 1288 (9th Cir. 1997), *overruled in part on other*
26 *grounds, Calderon v. United States District Court (Kelly)*, 163 F.3d 530 (9th Cir. 1998);
27 *Pace v. DiGuglielmo*, 544 U.S. 408, 418 (2005).

1 Further, Selander sets forth no factual allegations whatsoever to demonstrate
2 that he diligently pursued his rights. See, e.g., *Calderon*, 128 F.3d at 1288. In fact, the
3 dates on the purported emails that Selander includes are from March 2014. But in his
4 subsequent post-sentence motion to withdraw guilty plea (which the state courts
5 construed as a postconviction habeas petition), he argues only that his plea counsel
6 was ineffective for failing to investigate the possibility of a statute of limitations defense.
7 See Nevada Court of Appeals Case No. 69259. Noticeably absent are any allegations
8 of newly discovered evidence that the victim recanted. Selander has thus failed to meet
9 his burden of demonstrating that he is entitled to equitable tolling of the one-year
10 limitation period.

11 In conclusion, petitioner's federal petition is untimely. He has failed to
12 demonstrate a sufficient basis for equitable tolling or to excuse the statute of limitations.
13 Because the federal habeas petition was untimely filed, and because petitioner is not
14 entitled to statutory or equitable tolling, this action must be dismissed with prejudice as
15 untimely.

16 Accordingly,

17 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall detach and file the
18 petition (ECF No. 1-1).

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the petition is **DISMISSED** with prejudice as
20 untimely.

21 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED** because
22 jurists of reason would not find the court's dismissal of this petition to be debatable or
23 incorrect.


24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall add Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada
25 Attorney General, as counsel for respondents.
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall electronically serve the petition, along with a copy of this order, on respondents. No response by respondents is necessary, however.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's first and second motions for appointment of counsel (**ECF Nos. 2 and 8**) and petitioner's motion to extend time for discovery (**ECF No. 9**) are all **DENIED** as moot.

The Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and
CLOSE THIS CASE.

DATED: June 26, 2017.


JENNIFER A. DORSEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE